Revised May 20, 2010

Hall CMD Award Adjudicator Sheet

For each criterion, enter descriptive comments about the presentation and assign an ordinal score (10-highest ordinal, 1- lowest ordinal). Criteria for assessment include:

a) (50%) quality & significance of the **research**: Was the research well justified (did it address an important question)? Were the results compelling and stimulating? Were the conclusions well supported? How exciting or memorable was the research?
b) (20%) technical quality of the **presentation** (quality of graphics, clarity of figures and tables, quality of organization & timing),
c) (20%) quality of the **defense** of the research (e.g., during the talk or during questions), and
d) (10%) **poise** of the speaker throughout the presentation and questions.

Candidate _____

Criterion	Comments	Ordinal
Research		
Presentation		
Defense		
Poise		

OVERALL COMMENTS:

Candidate _____

Criterion	Comments	Ordinal
Research		
Presentation		
Defense		
Poise		

OVERALL COMMENTS:

Candidate _____

Criterion	Comments	Ordinal
Research		
Presentation		
Defense		
Poise		

OVERALL COMMENTS:

Candidate _____

Criterion	Comments	Ordinal
Research		
Presentation		
Defense		
Poise		

OVERALL COMMENTS:

Revised May 20, 2010

Hall CMD Award Final Ranking Sheet

In each box enter the rank of each judge's top 5 candidates: 5- highest rank, 1- lowest rank; use fractional ranks for ties (e.g., rank of 4.5 if a judge felt that his/her top two candidates were equal); for a candidate ranked among the top 5 by other judges, but not ranked by a given judge, enter a zero.

Overall rank of candidate

Candidate Name	Judge:	Judge:	Judge:	Judge:	Judge:	Sum of ranks